

Building a Beautiful & Bountiful Budget

Benjamin D. Bullock Director of Operations Together SC

The Board's Levers to Govern The Org.

- Bylaws
- Board Policies
- Strategic Plan
- Executive's Performance Evaluation

AND

• THE BUDGET!

Basic Terms for Financial Management

- Accounting Exists to Create Two Documents:
 - Statement of Financial Position (Balance Sheet)
 - Assets = Net Assets (Equity) + Liabilities
 - Statement of Activities (Profit & Loss)
 - Revenue Expenses = Change in Net Assets
- Budgets are Plans or Projections for Statement of Activities
 - Also, Board-Approved Budgets are authorization to raise and spend money!
- Both are dependent on the Chart of Accounts.

Example Chart of Accounts

#	Account Name	Туре
1100	Wells Fargo Checking	Asset – Cash
1200	Wells Fargo Savings	Asset – Cash
1300	Accounts Receivable	Asset
2200	Accounts Payable	Liabilities
3200	Unrestricted Net Assets	Equity
3300	Temporarily Restricted Net Assets	Equity
4100	Donations	Income
4200	Gala Sponsorships	Income
5200	Salaries	Expense
5300	Gala Expenses	Expense
5400	Program Expenses	Expense

Revenue	Amount	
General donations	\$2,500	
Appeals	\$1,500	
Monthly giving	\$1,200	
Fall gala	\$4,500	
Program fees	\$15,000	
In-kind donations	\$5,000	
	Total revenue	\$29,700
Expenses	Amount	
Salaries	\$17,000	
Payroll taxes	\$5,000	
Insurance	\$500	
Marketing & PR	\$500	
Office supplies	\$350	
Postage & Printing	\$400	
Professional services	\$1,500	
Program supplies	\$2,050	
Technology & Phone	\$1,500	
Travel & Mileage	\$900	
	Total expenses	\$29,700
	Net gain/loss	\$0

Is this Your Budget?

Budgeting with Intention

• Simple, one-column Budgets:

- Hide the organization's meaningful work behind "Program Expenses"
- Overemphasize "Salary" and "Overhead" as if they aren't programmatic
- Say nothing about which donor or grantor's dollars are going to which program, and don't recognize donor restrictions on funding.
- Require reinventing the wheel when writing grants and grant reports.

But Mostly:

THEY DON'T ALLOW FOR REAL, STRATEGIC, INTENTIONAL PLANNING!

Plan Better with Class Accounting

- Each Program/Project/Initiative, etc. gets its own Class
- Example:
 - 01 Governance / Administrative
 - 02 Fundraising
 - 03 Program 1
 - 04 Program 2
 - 05 Grant Funded Initiative 1
 - 06 Grant Funded Initiative 2
 - Etc.

FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT IN TWO DIMENSIONS!

Your	New	Budget	

	Total	Admin/Gov	Fundraising	Program 1	Program 2	Grant 1	Grant 2
Revenue							
Donations							
Grants							
Contracts/Fees							
Total Revenue							
Expenses							
Personnel							
Contracted							
Indirect							
Direct							
Total Expense							
Change in Net Assets							

Re-visioning Nonprofit Overhead



https://nonprofitquarterly.org/graphic-re-visioning-nonprofit-overhead/

Re-visioning Nonprofit Overhead



https://nonprofitquarterly.org/graphic-re-visioning-nonprofit-overhead/

Re-allocating "Overhead"

Exhibit 2 Statement of Functional Expenses by Nature with Supporting Services Allocated to Program Activities									
		Program	Activities		Supporting Services				
	A	В	C	Programs Subtotal	Management and General	Fundraising	Supporting Subtotal	Total Expenses	
Salaries and benefits	\$7,400	\$3,900	\$1,725	\$13,025	\$1,130	\$960	\$2,090	\$15,115	
Grants to other organizations	2,075	750	1,925	4,750	_	-	-	4,750	
Supplies and travel	890	1,013	499	2,402	213	540	753	3,155	
Services and professional fees	160	1,490	600	2,250	200	390	590	2,840	
Office and occupancy	1,160	600	450	2,210	218	100	318	2,528	
Depreciation	1,440	800	570	2,810	250	140	390	3,200	
Interest	171	96	68	335	27	20	47	382	
Total Expenses	\$13,296	\$8,649	\$5,837	\$27,782	\$2,038	\$2,150	\$4,188	\$31,970	
Allocation of Management & General	1,079	568	251	1,898	(2,038)	140	(1,898)	-	
Allocation of Fundrasing	1,124	633	533	2,290		(2,290)	(2,290)		
Total Expenses after Allocation	\$15,499	\$9,850	\$6,621	\$31,970	2 — 1	_	-	\$31,970	

https://www.cpajournal.com/2019/05/17/functional-expenses-by-nature-and-the-overhead-debate/

Acknowledgements

Klotz, C. (2016, August 16). A Graphic Re-Visioning of Nonprofit Overhead. *Nonprofit Quarterly*. Retrieved from <u>https://nonprofitquarterly.org/graphic-re-visioning-nonprofit-overhead/</u>

Klotz, C. (2019, May 17). Functional Expenses by Nature and the Overhead Debate: Crafting an Alternative Approach to ASU 2016-14. *The CPA Journal*. Retrieved from <u>https://www.cpajournal.com/2019/05/17/functional-expenses-by-</u> <u>nature-and-the-overhead-debate/</u>



OVERHEAD COSTS

A Graphic Re-visioning of Nonprofit Overhead



"We can work together for a better world with men and women of goodwill, those who radiate the intrinsic goodness of humankind." / Kate Ter Haar

Most nonprofit leaders agree that we need a new way to communicate about the true costs of our programs and the vital importance of strong organizational infrastructure. But we have not yet developed a simple, consistent message when sharing our view with potential supporters and investors. We are stuck with old terms and old images.

The following series of images and descriptions is really a blog in pictures. How we visualize our understanding of nonprofit structure and programs shapes the overhead debate. It's time to get graphic about our new ideas—to deploy fresh images to help educate the public, our funders, and ourselves.

It's Time to Retire This Pie Chart



A Tired Old View of Our Organization

When nonprofits are viewed this way, no matter how hard we try to think differently, we imagine important infrastructure of our organization as taking a slice out of the pie—as diminishing the "real" work of our mission.

Strategic financial functions, good governance, and the development of key funding partnerships are vital to strong organizations. We need a new way to communicate this truth.

We Need a New Image

Rather than thinking of our investment in key infrastructure as diminishing our programs, it should be seen as valuable **Core Mission Support.**



Core Mission Support functions are necessary, vital, and integral.

- Strong, strategic finance and accounting
- Progressive human resources practices
- Capable, responsive board governance
- Talented and engaged development staff

Whole Organizations and True Program Costs

Each of our programs is built around, is supported by, and shares responsibility for **Core Mission Support**.



All of the resources we need to accomplish our programs are the **True Program Costs**, which include four types of expenses:

- Direct Expenses: Program-Specific
- Direct Expenses: Shared by Programs
- Core Mission Support: Finance, HR, and Board
- Core Mission Support: Fundraising & Partners

Underfunded Programs Create a Gap at the Core



Some programs are only partially funded by contributions or by earned revenue.

When a program is only partially funded, the expenses not covered include a proportionate share of the Core Mission Support. This creates a **Gap** in funding for the finance, human resources, governance, and fundraising infrastructure that support the entire organization.

Line-Item Funding Creates a Gap at the Core

Some funders limit their support to only the direct expenses of program.



When funders support only direct expenses, they deny funding for Core Mission Support. This leaves a Gap at the

center of our organization. Not only is one program affected, but the health of the entire organization is at risk.



Invest in the Core to Grow the Mission

The growth and effectiveness of our mission work depend on having a solid core at the center of our organizations. Investing in our infrastructure is savvy, prudent, and absolutely necessary.

Go Visual With Our New Thinking

Once we have a new way of understanding and communicating about the Core Mission Support needed by our organizations, it is our job to share our thinking with others. Our funders, supporters and investors all want us to succeed. They are partners in accomplishing our mission work. But like us, they may need help reimagining the role strong infrastructure plays in amplifying program effectiveness. By providing a simple visual guide, we can help transform the way we talk about, picture, and ultimately fund the Core Mission Support that is at the center of all great nonprofits.

This article was <u>published in its original form</u> at the blog of <u>Propel Nonprofits</u>. It debuted on August 16, 2016.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR



Curtis Klotz is the CFO and Vice President of Finance at Propel Nonprofits, a certified Community Development Financial Institution in Minneapolis with the mission of fueling the impact and effectiveness of nonprofits. In his current role, he also provides financial management advice and support to a variety of nonprofits, is a frequent presenter at conferences and workshops on nonprofit finance topics, and is a frequent contributor to Propel Nonprofits' Balancing the Mission Checkbook blog. Latest Articles (/articles/)



LEARN

MORE

Q





(https://servedbyadbutler.com/go2/;ID=165519;size=970x90;setID=228992)

(https://www.cpajournal.com/)

Home (https://www.cpajournal.com) / CPA Journal Content (https://www.cpajournal.com/articles/) / Functional Expenses by Nature and the Overhead Debate

Ξ



Functional Expenses by Nature and the Overhead Debate

Crafting an Alternative Approach to ASU 2016-14



By Curtis Klotz, CPA (https://www.cpajournal.com/author/curtis-klotz/)

□ April 2019 Issue (https://www.cpajournal.com/category/magazine/april-2019-issue/), Featured (https://www.cpajournal.com/category/featured/) | ◎ May 2019

Get Copyright Permission (https://www.copyright.com/openurl.do?&issn=0732-8435&WT.mc.id=New%20York%20State%20Society%20of%20Certified%20Public%20Accountants)

FASB ASU 2016-14, *Presentation of Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Entities*, is in full effect with the close of the December 31, 2018, fiscal year. As implementation progresses, a key provision of the new standard is likely to stir up the ongoing overhead debate. The standard's requirement that audited financials present a statement of functional expenses by nature is a stark reminder to nonprofits that—regardless of their own positions and practices where it concerns overhead—accounting convention is once again putting the numbers that fuel the debate front and center.

Fortunately, there is a way to both satisfy the new standard and go beyond it to present functional expenses in a manner more consistent with contemporary strategy and practice for nonprofit entities. This is an opportunity for CPAs to become advocates, helping nonprofits use the new standard to produce even more useful financial information for users.

How the Statement of Functional Expenses Fuels the Debate

At the center of the debate is the longstanding reliance on the overhead ratio (alternately known as the program efficiency ratio or the functional expense ratio) as a measure of nonprofit effectiveness and efficiency. This view values keeping overhead costs (i.e., the cost of

Search	Q
Subscribe to The CPA	
Journal's Free	

Journal's Free Newsletter Email Address*

Email Address	
Subscribe	

Our Latest Content

Forté Capital's Selected Statistics (https://www.cpajournal.com/2019/08/23/fortecapitals-selected-statistics-35/) ② August 2019

CPAJ News Briefs

(https://www.cpajournal.com/2019/08/22/cpajnews-briefs-85/) ② August 2019

ICYMI | Using ESG Ratings to Build a Sustainability Investing Strategy (https://www.cpajournal.com/2019/08/22/icymiusing-esg-ratings-to-build-a-sustainabilityinvesting-strategy/) O August 2019

XBRL Data Comparability

(https://www.cpajournal.com/2019/08/21/xbrldata-comparability/) ② August 2019 core infrastructure) low. The assumption is that those nonprofits spending a higher percentage of their resources on what is broadly defined as overhead are less efficient than those that spend a lower percentage. Without a broader understanding of the financial strategies used by truly successful nonprofits, the presumption is that low overhead rates point to the best-run organizations.

What is concerning about the new FASB standard is that it refocuses attention on functional expenses. By requiring nonprofits to show functional expenses by nature (i.e., line item), there is an implicit assumption that the functional expense breakout is even more important. Focusing too narrowly on the statement of functional expenses could lead financial statement readers to misguided conclusions about whether a particular nonprofit is successfully carrying out its mission.



Tax & Accounting Update (https://www.cpajournal.com/2019/08/20/taxaccounting-update-34/) O August 2019



Accountant Early Access offers complimentary tools and resources



(https://servedbyadbutler.com/go2/;ID=165519;size

Feedbac

An Alternative Viewpoint Requires an Alternative Presentation

Fortunately, many nonprofit leaders and their supporters understand the importance of investing in and strengthening their core administrative, HR, IT, governance, and fundraising functions, and are rightly questioning the efficacy of the overhead ratio as a measure of organizational efficiency. Recent research out of North Carolina State University caught the attention of the *Wall Street Journal* for suggesting that, instead of providing a measure of nonprofit efficiency, the ratio actually correlates negatively to nonprofit financial and programmatic success (Jason Coupet and Jessica Berrett, "When It Comes to Evaluating Nonprofits, It Isn't All About the Overhead," *Wall Street Journal*, Dec. 16, 2018, https://on.wsj.com/2Y7IMW0 (https://on.wsj.com/2Y7IMW0)). For years, many in the nonprofit sector, including the author, have advocated for an alternative view of overhead that encourages strong investment in core organizational needs rather than discourages it (Curtis Klotz, "A Graphic Re-visioning of Nonprofit Overhead," *Nonprofit Quarterly*, Aug. 16, 2016, http://bit.ly/2FeaZ3x (https://bit.ly/2FeaZ3x)).

CPAs should do their part to help nonprofits navigate the new reporting requirement. The challenge is finding a way to display functional expenses while remaining true to nonprofit values where it concerns overhead. Using an alternative display is a powerful way to offer a different point of view.

Satisfying the Minimum Requirements

The guidance provided in ASU 2016-14 is meant to establish a minimum threshold of practice and presentation. But once that minimum is met, nonprofits have the opportunity to use their audited financials to more fully share their financial stories.

Exhibit 1 (https://www.nysscpa.org/news/publications/the-cpa-journal/article-detail? ArticleID=13102#T1) comes from ASU 2016-14 and is an example of a statement of functional expenses by nature. Showing expenses by nature translates into displaying those expenses by line items, which meets the minimum requirements of the standard.

Exhibit 1

Statement of Functional Expenses by Nature



Telling a More Complete Story

No one ratio or financial statement can tell the full story of a nonprofit business model. FASB makes clear that its model disclosures and statement mock-ups are meant to provide guidance and should not be seen as the only proper way to display information.

These illustrations are intended as examples only; they present only a few of the permissible formats. Other formats or levels of detail may be appropriate for certain circumstances. ... Not-for-profit entities (NFPs) are encouraged to provide information in ways that are most relevant and understandable to donors, creditors, and other external users of financial statements. (ASU 2016-14, 958-202-55-2, page 39)

Where it concerns the statement of functional expenses and the overhead debate, nonprofits can use this flexibility to tell a more sophisticated story.

One way to counter the overreliance on the functional expense ratio is to show that supporting services (e.g., management and general, fundraising) do indeed support the program activities and should not be seen as separate from, or diminishing of, program effectiveness. Of course, this requires that nonprofits actually allocate these expenses back to programs using an appropriate basis. For nonprofits that allocate administrative and fundraising costs to programs, the result is a more complete understanding of the true program costs or full costs of each program area. This is a powerful tool for measuring the actual program performance and is more in line with the reality of nonprofit business models.

Program work—that is, the mission—cannot get done without the benefit of and expense of core supporting services. *Exhibit 2*(https://www.nysscpa.org/news/publications/the-cpa-journal/article-detail?ArticleID=13102#T2)takes the sample ASU statement of functional expenses one step further by displaying the allocation of supporting services to program activities.



CPAs as Advocates

Regardless of what one thinks of the overhead ratio and its usefulness, CPAs can advocate for nonprofit clients and employers to display their financial information in a way that counters overreliance on that single measure. For some nonprofits, this means boldly displaying the allocation methods they already use in the statement of functional expenses; others may first need to rethink and redesign their accounting systems to capture the data needed to accurately make these allocations. In either case, CPAs can help nonprofits move toward a more effective financial strategy that takes into account the true, full cost of program activities.

Curtis Klotz, CPA serves as director of nonprofit innovation at CLA (CliftonLarsonAllen), Minneapolis, Minn., and is primary author of the CLA Innovation in Nonprofit Finance blog.

CPAJ NEWS BRIEFS	TAX & ACCOUNTING UPDATE
(HTTPS://WWW.CPAJOURNAL.COM/2019/05/16	6/CPA J-(HTTPS://WWW.CPAJOURNAL.COM/2019/05/2)/TAX-
NEWS-BRIEFS-72/)	ACCOUNTING-UPDATE-31/)

TAGS: ASU (https://www.cpajournal.com/tag/asu/)

not for profit (https://www.cpajournal.com/tag/not-for-profit/)

nonprofit (https://www.cpajournal.com/tag/nonprofit/)

standards (https://www.cpajournal.com/tag/standards/)

financial statements (https://www.cpajournal.com/tag/financial-statements/)

overhead (https://www.cpajournal.com/tag/overhead/)

RELATED POSTS

< >



FORTÉ CAPITAL'S SELECTED STATISTICS ainability-investing-strategy/) XBRL DATA CC (HTTPS://WWW.CPAJOURNAL.COM/2019/08/39/6687ERATINGS... (HTTPS://WWW.CPAJOURNAL.COM/2019/06/39/66 CAPITALS-SELECTED-STATISTICS-35//JTTPS://WWW.CPAJOURNAL.COM/2019/06/39/66 USING-ESG-RATINGS-TO-BUILD-A-SUSTAINABILIT INVESTING-STRATEGY/)

(https://servedbyadbutler.com/go2/;ID=165519;size=728x90;setID=228991)

Subscribe to The CPA Journal's Free Newsletter

Email Address*

Subscribe

PRIVACY POLICY

View the NYSSCPA privacy policy (https://www.nysscpa.org/privacypolicy)

About The CPA Journal

The CPA Journal is a publication of the New York State Society of CPAs (http://www.nysscpa.org/about/about-nysscpa? utm_source=cpajournal.com&utm_medium=Footer%20CPA%20Journal%20Link&utm_campaign=Link%20Footer%20CPA%20Journal%20cpajournal.com and is internationally recognized as an outstanding, technicalrefereed publication for accounting practitioners, educators, and other financial professionals all over the globe. Edited by CPAs for CPAs, it aims to provide accounting and other financial professionals with the information and analysis they need to succeed in today's business environment.

CONTACT US

The CPA Journal 14 Wall St. 19th Floor New York, NY 10005 CPAJ-Editors@nysscpa.org (mailto:CPAJ-Editors@nysscpa.org)

OUR PARTNERS

Thomson Reuters Checkpoint (https://tax.thomsonreuters.com/products/brands/checkpoint/) SmartBrief (http://smartbrief.com/) Feedback

© 2019 The New York State Society of CPAs. All rights reserved.